

Measures on perfect PAC fields with pro-cyclic Galois group

I. Halupczok

Ecole Normale Supérieure
rue d'Ulm, Paris

Modnet Antalya Conference, 2006

Introduction

- Emerged from work group in Lyon (France) with Thomas Blossier, Amador Martin Pizarro and Juan Pons Llopis.
- Answer a question of Hrushovski on “measures on fields with bounded Galois groups”.

Outline

- 1 Measures on pseudo-finite fields
- 2 How to generalize?
- 3 Results

Outline

- 1 Measures on pseudo-finite fields
- 2 How to generalize?
- 3 Results

Outline

- ① Measures on pseudo-finite fields
- ② How to generalize?
- ③ Results

Outline

- 1 Measures on pseudo-finite fields
- 2 How to generalize?
- 3 Results

Situation for pseudo-finite fields

- Let K be a pseudo-finite field.
- Want to understand definable sets $X \subset K^n$.
- Method: Associate **invariants** to X :
 - Dimension $\dim(X)$
 - Measure $\mu(X)$

Situation for pseudo-finite fields

- Let K be a pseudo-finite field.
- Want to understand definable sets $X \subset K^n$.
- Method: Associate **invariants** to X :
 - Dimension $\dim(X)$
 - Measure $\mu(X)$

Situation for pseudo-finite fields

- Let K be a pseudo-finite field.
- Want to understand definable sets $X \subset K^n$.
- Method: Associate **invariants** to X :
 - Dimension $\dim(X)$
 - Measure $\mu(X)$

Situation for pseudo-finite fields

- Let K be a pseudo-finite field.
- Want to understand definable sets $X \subset K^n$.
- Method: Associate **invariants** to X :
 - Dimension $\dim(X)$
 - Measure $\mu(X)$

Situation for pseudo-finite fields

- Let K be a pseudo-finite field.
- Want to understand definable sets $X \subset K^n$.
- Method: Associate **invariants** to X :
 - Dimension $\dim(X)$
 - Measure $\mu(X)$

Situation for pseudo-finite fields

- Let K be a pseudo-finite field.
- Want to understand definable sets $X \subset K^n$.
- Method: Associate **invariants** to X :
 - Dimension $\dim(X)$
 - Measure $\mu(X)$

Dimension

Definition (Dimension)

View $X \subset K^n$ as a subset of \tilde{K}^n . Set $\dim(X) :=$ dimension of Zariski-closure of X in \tilde{K}^n .

Example: X absolute irreducible variety

- K is PAC $\Rightarrow X(K)$ is dense in $X(\tilde{K})$.
- $\Rightarrow \dim X =$ alg. dimension of X

Dimension

Definition (Dimension)

View $X \subset K^n$ as a subset of \tilde{K}^n . Set $\dim(X) :=$ dimension of Zariski-closure of X in \tilde{K}^n .

Example: X absolute irreducible variety

- K is PAC $\Rightarrow X(K)$ is dense in $X(\tilde{K})$.
- $\Rightarrow \dim X =$ alg. dimension of X

Dimension

Definition (Dimension)

View $X \subset K^n$ as a subset of \tilde{K}^n . Set $\dim(X) :=$ dimension of Zariski-closure of X in \tilde{K}^n .

Example: X absolute irreducible variety

- K is PAC $\Rightarrow X(K)$ is dense in $X(\tilde{K})$.
- $\Rightarrow \dim X =$ alg. dimension of X

Dimension

Definition (Dimension)

View $X \subset K^n$ as a subset of \tilde{K}^n . Set $\dim(X) :=$ dimension of Zariski-closure of X in \tilde{K}^n .

Example: X absolute irreducible variety

- K is PAC $\Rightarrow X(K)$ is dense in $X(\tilde{K})$.
- $\Rightarrow \dim X =$ alg. dimension of X

Dimension

Definition (Dimension)

View $X \subset K^n$ as a subset of \tilde{K}^n . Set $\dim(X) :=$ dimension of Zariski-closure of X in \tilde{K}^n .

Example: X absolute irreducible variety

- K is PAC $\Rightarrow X(K)$ is dense in $X(\tilde{K})$.
- $\Rightarrow \dim X =$ alg. dimension of X

Dimension

Definition (Dimension)

View $X \subset K^n$ as a subset of \tilde{K}^n . Set $\dim(X) :=$ dimension of Zariski-closure of X in \tilde{K}^n .

Example: X absolute irreducible variety

- K is PAC $\Rightarrow X(K)$ is dense in $X(\tilde{K})$.
- $\Rightarrow \dim X =$ alg. dimension of X

Measure: example

Example: $X := \{\text{squares}\} := \{x^2 \mid x \in K\}$.

- $\dim X = 1$
- “half of the elements” of K are squares.
- $\rightsquigarrow \mu(X) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Measure: example

Example: $X := \{\text{squares}\} := \{x^2 \mid x \in K\}$.

- $\dim X = 1$
- “half of the elements” of K are squares.
- $\rightsquigarrow \mu(X) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Measure: example

Example: $X := \{\text{squares}\} := \{x^2 \mid x \in K\}$.

- $\dim X = 1$
- “half of the elements” of K are squares.
- $\rightsquigarrow \mu(X) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Measure: example

Example: $X := \{\text{squares}\} := \{x^2 \mid x \in K\}$.

- $\dim X = 1$
- “half of the elements” of K are squares.
- $\rightsquigarrow \mu(X) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Measure: example

Example: $X := \{\text{squares}\} := \{x^2 \mid x \in K\}$.

- $\dim X = 1$
- “half of the elements” of K are squares.
- $\rightsquigarrow \mu(X) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Almost-quantifier-elimination

For definition of μ need **almost-quantifier-elimination**:

Theorem

K PSF field, X definable. $\Rightarrow X = \pi(V(K))$, where

- V is algebraic*
- π is a projection*
- $\pi \upharpoonright_V$ has finite fibers.*

Almost-quantifier-elimination

For definition of μ need **almost-quantifier-elimination**:

Theorem

K PSF field, X definable. $\Rightarrow X = \pi(V(K))$, where

- V is algebraic
- π is a projection
- $\pi \upharpoonright_V$ has finite fibers.

Almost-quantifier-elimination

For definition of μ need **almost-quantifier-elimination**:

Theorem

K PSF field, X definable. $\Rightarrow X = \pi(V(K))$, where

- V is algebraic
- π is a projection
- $\pi \upharpoonright_V$ has finite fibers.

Almost-quantifier-elimination

For definition of μ need **almost-quantifier-elimination**:

Theorem

K PSF field, X definable. $\Rightarrow X = \pi(V(K))$, where

- V is algebraic
- π is a projection
- $\pi \upharpoonright_V$ has finite fibers.

Almost-quantifier-elimination

For definition of μ need **almost-quantifier-elimination**:

Theorem

K PSF field, X definable. $\Rightarrow X = \pi(V(K))$, where

- V is algebraic
- π is a projection
- $\pi \upharpoonright_V$ has finite fibers.

Almost-quantifier-elimination

For definition of μ need **almost-quantifier-elimination**:

Theorem

K PSF field, X definable. $\Rightarrow X = \pi(V(K))$, where

- V is algebraic
- π is a projection
- $\pi \upharpoonright_V$ has finite fibers.

Definition of measure

Definition of $\mu(X)$:

- $X \subset K^n$ algebraic set $\rightsquigarrow \mu(X) :=$ number of irreducible components of Zariski closure of X in \tilde{K}
- X not algebraic:
 - Almost-ge $\rightsquigarrow \pi: V \rightarrow X$
 - If all fibers have size $n \rightsquigarrow \mu(X) := \frac{1}{n}\mu(V)$.
 - Otherwise: A bit more work. (Best way: use Galois stratifications.)

Example: $X = \{\text{squares}\}$:

- $\{\text{squares}\} = \pi(V(y^2 = x))$ where $\pi = \text{proj. on } x\text{-coordinate}$
- $V := V(y^2 = x)$ is absolutely irreducible $\rightarrow \mu(V) = 1$.
- Fiber have 2 elements except at $x = 0$.
- \rightsquigarrow Indeed $\mu(\{\text{squares}\}) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Definition of measure

Definition of $\mu(X)$:

- $X \subset K^n$ algebraic set $\rightsquigarrow \mu(X) :=$ number of irreducible components of Zariski closure of X in \tilde{K}
- X not algebraic:
 - Almost-ge $\rightsquigarrow \pi: V \rightarrow X$
 - If all fibers have size $n \rightsquigarrow \mu(X) := \frac{1}{n}\mu(V)$.
 - Otherwise: A bit more work. (Best way: use Galois stratifications.)

Example: $X = \{\text{squares}\}$:

- $\{\text{squares}\} = \pi(V(y^2 = x))$ where $\pi = \text{proj. on } x\text{-coordinate}$
- $V := V(y^2 = x)$ is absolutely irreducible $\rightarrow \mu(V) = 1$.
- Fiber have 2 elements except at $x = 0$.
- \rightsquigarrow Indeed $\mu(\{\text{squares}\}) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Definition of measure

Definition of $\mu(X)$:

- $X \subset K^n$ algebraic set $\rightsquigarrow \mu(X) :=$ number of irreducible components of Zariski closure of X in \tilde{K}
- X not algebraic:
 - Almost-ge $\rightsquigarrow \pi: V \rightarrow X$
 - If all fibers have size $n \rightsquigarrow \mu(X) := \frac{1}{n}\mu(V)$.
 - Otherwise: A bit more work. (Best way: use Galois stratifications.)

Example: $X = \{\text{squares}\}$:

- $\{\text{squares}\} = \pi(V(y^2 = x))$ where $\pi = \text{proj. on } x\text{-coordinate}$
- $V := V(y^2 = x)$ is absolutely irreducible $\rightarrow \mu(V) = 1$.
- Fiber have 2 elements except at $x = 0$.
- \rightsquigarrow Indeed $\mu(\{\text{squares}\}) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Definition of measure

Definition of $\mu(X)$:

- $X \subset K^n$ algebraic set $\rightsquigarrow \mu(X) :=$ number of irreducible components of Zariski closure of X in \tilde{K}
- X not algebraic:
 - Almost-ge $\rightsquigarrow \pi: V \rightarrow X$
 - If all fibers have size $n \rightsquigarrow \mu(X) := \frac{1}{n}\mu(V)$.
 - Otherwise: A bit more work. (Best way: use Galois stratifications.)

Example: $X = \{\text{squares}\}$:

- $\{\text{squares}\} = \pi(V(y^2 = x))$ where $\pi = \text{proj. on } x\text{-coordinate}$
- $V := V(y^2 = x)$ is absolutely irreducible $\rightarrow \mu(V) = 1$.
- Fiber have 2 elements except at $x = 0$.
- \rightsquigarrow Indeed $\mu(\{\text{squares}\}) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Definition of measure

Definition of $\mu(X)$:

- $X \subset K^n$ algebraic set $\rightsquigarrow \mu(X) :=$ number of irreducible components of Zariski closure of X in \tilde{K}
- X not algebraic:
 - Almost-ge $\rightsquigarrow \pi: V \rightarrow X$
 - If all fibers have size $n \rightsquigarrow \mu(X) := \frac{1}{n}\mu(V)$.
 - Otherwise: A bit more work. (Best way: use Galois stratifications.)

Example: $X = \{\text{squares}\}$:

- $\{\text{squares}\} = \pi(V(y^2 = x))$ where $\pi = \text{proj. on } x\text{-coordinate}$
- $V := V(y^2 = x)$ is absolutely irreducible $\rightarrow \mu(V) = 1$.
- Fiber have 2 elements except at $x = 0$.
- \rightsquigarrow Indeed $\mu(\{\text{squares}\}) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Definition of measure

Definition of $\mu(X)$:

- $X \subset K^n$ algebraic set $\rightsquigarrow \mu(X) :=$ number of irreducible components of Zariski closure of X in \tilde{K}
- X not algebraic:
 - Almost-ge $\rightsquigarrow \pi: V \rightarrow X$
 - If all fibers have size $n \rightsquigarrow \mu(X) := \frac{1}{n}\mu(V)$.
 - Otherwise: A bit more work. (Best way: use Galois stratifications.)

Example: $X = \{\text{squares}\}$:

- $\{\text{squares}\} = \pi(V(y^2 = x))$ where $\pi = \text{proj. on } x\text{-coordinate}$
- $V := V(y^2 = x)$ is absolutely irreducible $\rightarrow \mu(V) = 1$.
- Fiber have 2 elements except at $x = 0$.
- \rightsquigarrow Indeed $\mu(\{\text{squares}\}) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Definition of measure

Definition of $\mu(X)$:

- $X \subset K^n$ algebraic set $\rightsquigarrow \mu(X) :=$ number of irreducible components of Zariski closure of X in \tilde{K}
- X not algebraic:
 - Almost-ge $\rightsquigarrow \pi: V \rightarrow X$
 - If all fibers have size $n \rightsquigarrow \mu(X) := \frac{1}{n}\mu(V)$.
 - Otherwise: A bit more work. (Best way: use Galois stratifications.)

Example: $X = \{\text{squares}\}$:

- $\{\text{squares}\} = \pi(V(y^2 = x))$ where $\pi = \text{proj. on } x\text{-coordinate}$
- $V := V(y^2 = x)$ is absolutely irreducible $\rightarrow \mu(V) = 1$.
- Fiber have 2 elements except at $x = 0$.
- \rightsquigarrow Indeed $\mu(\{\text{squares}\}) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Definition of measure

Definition of $\mu(X)$:

- $X \subset K^n$ algebraic set $\rightsquigarrow \mu(X) :=$ number of irreducible components of Zariski closure of X in \tilde{K}
- X not algebraic:
 - Almost-ge $\rightsquigarrow \pi: V \rightarrow X$
 - If all fibers have size $n \rightsquigarrow \mu(X) := \frac{1}{n}\mu(V)$.
 - Otherwise: A bit more work. (Best way: use Galois stratifications.)

Example: $X = \{\text{squares}\}$:

- $\{\text{squares}\} = \pi(V(y^2 = x))$ where $\pi = \text{proj. on } x\text{-coordinate}$
- $V := V(y^2 = x)$ is absolutely irreducible $\rightarrow \mu(V) = 1$.
- Fiber have 2 elements except at $x = 0$.
- \rightsquigarrow Indeed $\mu(\{\text{squares}\}) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Definition of measure

Definition of $\mu(X)$:

- $X \subset K^n$ algebraic set $\rightsquigarrow \mu(X) :=$ number of irreducible components of Zariski closure of X in \tilde{K}
- X not algebraic:
 - Almost-ge $\rightsquigarrow \pi: V \rightarrow X$
 - If all fibers have size $n \rightsquigarrow \mu(X) := \frac{1}{n}\mu(V)$.
 - Otherwise: A bit more work. (Best way: use Galois stratifications.)

Example: $X = \{\text{squares}\}$:

- $\{\text{squares}\} = \pi(V(y^2 = x))$ where $\pi = \text{proj. on } x\text{-coordinate}$
- $V := V(y^2 = x)$ is absolutely irreducible $\rightarrow \mu(V) = 1$.
- Fiber have 2 elements except at $x = 0$.
- \rightsquigarrow Indeed $\mu(\{\text{squares}\}) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Definition of measure

Definition of $\mu(X)$:

- $X \subset K^n$ algebraic set $\rightsquigarrow \mu(X) :=$ number of irreducible components of Zariski closure of X in \tilde{K}
- X not algebraic:
 - Almost-ge $\rightsquigarrow \pi: V \rightarrow X$
 - If all fibers have size $n \rightsquigarrow \mu(X) := \frac{1}{n}\mu(V)$.
 - Otherwise: A bit more work. (Best way: use Galois stratifications.)

Example: $X = \{\text{squares}\}$:

- $\{\text{squares}\} = \pi(V(y^2 = x))$ where $\pi = \text{proj. on } x\text{-coordinate}$
- $V := V(y^2 = x)$ is absolutely irreducible $\rightarrow \mu(V) = 1$.
- Fiber have 2 elements except at $x = 0$.
- \rightsquigarrow Indeed $\mu(\{\text{squares}\}) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Definition of measure

Definition of $\mu(X)$:

- $X \subset K^n$ algebraic set $\rightsquigarrow \mu(X) :=$ number of irreducible components of Zariski closure of X in \tilde{K}
- X not algebraic:
 - Almost-ge $\rightsquigarrow \pi: V \rightarrow X$
 - If all fibers have size $n \rightsquigarrow \mu(X) := \frac{1}{n}\mu(V)$.
 - Otherwise: A bit more work. (Best way: use Galois stratifications.)

Example: $X = \{\text{squares}\}$:

- $\{\text{squares}\} = \pi(V(y^2 = x))$ where $\pi = \text{proj. on } x\text{-coordinate}$
- $V := V(y^2 = x)$ is absolutely irreducible $\rightarrow \mu(V) = 1$.
- Fiber have 2 elements except at $x = 0$.
- \rightsquigarrow Indeed $\mu(\{\text{squares}\}) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Defintion of measure

Definition of $\mu(X)$:

- $X \subset K^n$ algebraic set $\rightsquigarrow \mu(X) :=$ number of irreducible components of Zariski closure of X in \tilde{K}
- X not algebraic:
 - Almost-ge $\rightsquigarrow \pi: V \rightarrow X$
 - If all fibers have size $n \rightsquigarrow \mu(X) := \frac{1}{n}\mu(V)$.
 - Otherwise: A bit more work. (Best way: use Galois stratifications.)

Example: $X = \{\text{squares}\}$:

- $\{\text{squares}\} = \pi(V(y^2 = x))$ where $\pi = \text{proj. on } x\text{-coordinate}$
- $V := V(y^2 = x)$ is absolutely irreducible $\rightarrow \mu(V) = 1$.
- Fiber have 2 elements except at $x = 0$.
- \rightsquigarrow Indeed $\mu(\{\text{squares}\}) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Properties

What does μ satisfy?

- $\mu(V) = 1$ if V absolutely irreducible.
- $\mu(X \dot{\cup} Y) = \begin{cases} \mu(X) + \mu(Y) & \text{if } \dim X = \dim Y \\ \mu(X) & \text{if } \dim X > \dim Y \end{cases}$
- $\mu(X \times Y) = \mu(X) \cdot \mu(Y)$
- $f: X \rightarrow Y$ definable bijection $\Rightarrow \mu(X) = \mu(Y)$
- More generally: **Fubini**: $f: X \rightarrow Y$ definable, surjective, with constant fiber size $\Rightarrow \mu(X) = \mu(Y) \cdot \mu(\text{fiber})$.

Theorem (Hrushovski)

These properties axiomatize the measure.

Properties

What does μ satisfy?

- $\mu(V) = 1$ if V absolutely irreducible.
- $\mu(X \dot{\cup} Y) = \begin{cases} \mu(X) + \mu(Y) & \text{if } \dim X = \dim Y \\ \mu(X) & \text{if } \dim X > \dim Y \end{cases}$
- $\mu(X \times Y) = \mu(X) \cdot \mu(Y)$
- $f: X \rightarrow Y$ definable bijection $\Rightarrow \mu(X) = \mu(Y)$
- More generally: **Fubini**: $f: X \rightarrow Y$ definable, surjective, with constant fiber size $\Rightarrow \mu(X) = \mu(Y) \cdot \mu(\text{fiber})$.

Theorem (Hrushovski)

These properties axiomatize the measure.

Properties

What does μ satisfy?

- $\mu(V) = 1$ if V absolutely irreducible.
- $\mu(X \dot{\cup} Y) = \begin{cases} \mu(X) + \mu(Y) & \text{if } \dim X = \dim Y \\ \mu(X) & \text{if } \dim X > \dim Y \end{cases}$
- $\mu(X \times Y) = \mu(X) \cdot \mu(Y)$
- $f: X \rightarrow Y$ definable bijection $\Rightarrow \mu(X) = \mu(Y)$
- More generally: **Fubini**: $f: X \rightarrow Y$ definable, surjective, with constant fiber size $\Rightarrow \mu(X) = \mu(Y) \cdot \mu(\text{fiber})$.

Theorem (Hrushovski)

These properties axiomatize the measure.

Properties

What does μ satisfy?

- $\mu(V) = 1$ if V absolutely irreducible.
- $\mu(X \dot{\cup} Y) = \begin{cases} \mu(X) + \mu(Y) & \text{if } \dim X = \dim Y \\ \mu(X) & \text{if } \dim X > \dim Y \end{cases}$
- $\mu(X \times Y) = \mu(X) \cdot \mu(Y)$
- $f: X \rightarrow Y$ definable bijection $\Rightarrow \mu(X) = \mu(Y)$
- More generally: **Fubini**: $f: X \rightarrow Y$ definable, surjective, with constant fiber size $\Rightarrow \mu(X) = \mu(Y) \cdot \mu(\text{fiber})$.

Theorem (Hrushovski)

These properties axiomatize the measure.

Properties

What does μ satisfy?

- $\mu(V) = 1$ if V absolutely irreducible.
- $\mu(X \dot{\cup} Y) = \begin{cases} \mu(X) + \mu(Y) & \text{if } \dim X = \dim Y \\ \mu(X) & \text{if } \dim X > \dim Y \end{cases}$
- $\mu(X \times Y) = \mu(X) \cdot \mu(Y)$
- $f: X \rightarrow Y$ definable bijection $\Rightarrow \mu(X) = \mu(Y)$
- More generally: **Fubini**: $f: X \rightarrow Y$ definable, surjective, with constant fiber size $\Rightarrow \mu(X) = \mu(Y) \cdot \mu(\text{fiber})$.

Theorem (Hrushovski)

These properties axiomatize the measure.

Properties

What does μ satisfy?

- $\mu(V) = 1$ if V absolutely irreducible.
- $\mu(X \dot{\cup} Y) = \begin{cases} \mu(X) + \mu(Y) & \text{if } \dim X = \dim Y \\ \mu(X) & \text{if } \dim X > \dim Y \end{cases}$
- $\mu(X \times Y) = \mu(X) \cdot \mu(Y)$
- $f: X \rightarrow Y$ definable bijection $\Rightarrow \mu(X) = \mu(Y)$
- More generally: **Fubini**: $f: X \rightarrow Y$ definable, surjective, with constant fiber size $\Rightarrow \mu(X) = \mu(Y) \cdot \mu(\text{fiber})$.

Theorem (Hrushovski)

These properties axiomatize the measure.

Properties

What does μ satisfy?

- $\mu(V) = 1$ if V absolutely irreducible.
- $\mu(X \dot{\cup} Y) = \begin{cases} \mu(X) + \mu(Y) & \text{if } \dim X = \dim Y \\ \mu(X) & \text{if } \dim X > \dim Y \end{cases}$
- $\mu(X \times Y) = \mu(X) \cdot \mu(Y)$
- $f: X \rightarrow Y$ definable bijection $\Rightarrow \mu(X) = \mu(Y)$
- More generally: **Fubini**: $f: X \rightarrow Y$ definable, surjective, with constant fiber size $\Rightarrow \mu(X) = \mu(Y) \cdot \mu(\text{fiber})$.

Theorem (Hrushovski)

These properties axiomatize the measure.

Properties

What does μ satisfy?

- $\mu(V) = 1$ if V absolutely irreducible.
- $\mu(X \dot{\cup} Y) = \begin{cases} \mu(X) + \mu(Y) & \text{if } \dim X = \dim Y \\ \mu(X) & \text{if } \dim X > \dim Y \end{cases}$
- $\mu(X \times Y) = \mu(X) \cdot \mu(Y)$
- $f: X \rightarrow Y$ definable bijection $\Rightarrow \mu(X) = \mu(Y)$
- More generally: **Fubini**: $f: X \rightarrow Y$ definable, surjective, with constant fiber size $\Rightarrow \mu(X) = \mu(Y) \cdot \mu(\text{fiber})$.

Theorem (Hrushovski)

These properties axiomatize the measure.

Properties

What does μ satisfy?

- $\mu(V) = 1$ if V absolutely irreducible.
- $\mu(X \dot{\cup} Y) = \begin{cases} \mu(X) + \mu(Y) & \text{if } \dim X = \dim Y \\ \mu(X) & \text{if } \dim X > \dim Y \end{cases}$
- $\mu(X \times Y) = \mu(X) \cdot \mu(Y)$
- $f: X \rightarrow Y$ definable bijection $\Rightarrow \mu(X) = \mu(Y)$
- More generally: **Fubini**: $f: X \rightarrow Y$ definable, surjective, with constant fiber size $\Rightarrow \mu(X) = \mu(Y) \cdot \mu(\text{fiber})$.

Theorem (Hrushovski)

These properties axiomatize the measure.

Outline

- 1 Measures on pseudo-finite fields
- 2 How to generalize?
- 3 Results

Generalize: To which fields?

Generalize the measure to other fields. Which fields?

- Need control over definable sets.
- Recall: K PSF $\iff K$ PAC, perfect and $\text{Gal}_K := \text{Gal}(\tilde{K}/K) = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Theorem (Hrushovski)

Almost-qs still holds if K PAC, perfect and Gal_K bounded.

- Gal_K **bounded** := has only a finite number of quotients of each fixed cardinality

Question (Hrushovski)

Does a measure exist if K PAC, perfect and Gal_K bounded?

Generalize: To which fields?

Generalize the measure to other fields. Which fields?

- Need control over definable sets.
- Recall: K PSF $\iff K$ PAC, perfect and $\text{Gal}_K := \text{Gal}(\tilde{K}/K) = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Theorem (Hrushovski)

Almost-qs still holds if K PAC, perfect and Gal_K bounded.

- Gal_K **bounded** := has only a finite number of quotients of each fixed cardinality

Question (Hrushovski)

Does a measure exist if K PAC, perfect and Gal_K bounded?

Generalize: To which fields?

Generalize the measure to other fields. Which fields?

- Need control over definable sets.
- Recall: K PSF $\iff K$ PAC, perfect and $\text{Gal}_K := \text{Gal}(\tilde{K}/K) = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Theorem (Hrushovski)

Almost-qs still holds if K PAC, perfect and Gal_K bounded.

- Gal_K **bounded** := has only a finite number of quotients of each fixed cardinality

Question (Hrushovski)

Does a measure exist if K PAC, perfect and Gal_K bounded?

Generalize: To which fields?

Generalize the measure to other fields. Which fields?

- Need control over definable sets.
- Recall: K PSF $\iff K$ PAC, perfect and $\text{Gal}_K := \text{Gal}(\tilde{K}/K) = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Theorem (Hrushovski)

Almost-ge still holds if K PAC, perfect and Gal_K bounded.

- Gal_K **bounded** := has only a finite number of quotients of each fixed cardinality

Question (Hrushovski)

Does a measure exist if K PAC, perfect and Gal_K bounded?

Generalize: To which fields?

Generalize the measure to other fields. Which fields?

- Need control over definable sets.
- Recall: K PSF $\iff K$ PAC, perfect and $\text{Gal}_K := \text{Gal}(\tilde{K}/K) = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Theorem (Hrushovski)

Almost-qs still holds if K PAC, perfect and Gal_K bounded.

- Gal_K **bounded** := has only a finite number of quotients of each fixed cardinality

Question (Hrushovski)

Does a measure exist if K PAC, perfect and Gal_K bounded?

Generalize: To which fields?

Generalize the measure to other fields. Which fields?

- Need control over definable sets.
- Recall: K PSF $\iff K$ PAC, perfect and $\text{Gal}_K := \text{Gal}(\tilde{K}/K) = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Theorem (Hrushovski)

Almost-qs still holds if K PAC, perfect and Gal_K bounded.

- Gal_K **bounded** := has only a finite number of quotients of each fixed cardinality

Question (Hrushovski)

Does a measure exist if K PAC, perfect and Gal_K bounded?

Generalize: To which fields?

Generalize the measure to other fields. Which fields?

- Need control over definable sets.
- Recall: K PSF $\iff K$ PAC, perfect and $\text{Gal}_K := \text{Gal}(\tilde{K}/K) = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Theorem (Hrushovski)

Almost- q_e still holds if K PAC, perfect and Gal_K bounded.

- Gal_K **bounded** := has only a finite number of quotients of each fixed cardinality

Question (Hrushovski)

Does a measure exist if K PAC, perfect and Gal_K bounded?

Generalize: To which fields?

Generalize the measure to other fields. Which fields?

- Need control over definable sets.
- Recall: K PSF $\iff K$ PAC, perfect and $\text{Gal}_K := \text{Gal}(\tilde{K}/K) = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Theorem (Hrushovski)

Almost-qs still holds if K PAC, perfect and Gal_K bounded.

- Gal_K **bounded** := has only a finite number of quotients of each fixed cardinality

Question (Hrushovski)

Does a measure exist if K PAC, perfect and Gal_K bounded?

Generalized axioms

Can we expect all the axioms?

- **Fubini:** $f: X \rightarrow Y$ definable, surjective, with constant fiber size $\Rightarrow \mu(X) = \mu(Y) \cdot \mu(\text{fiber})$.

Example: $K = \tilde{K}$, $f: K^\times \rightarrow K^\times, x \mapsto x^2$.

Fibers have 2 elements, but left and right set are the same. ⚡

Generalized axioms

Can we expect all the axioms?

- **Fubini:** $f: X \rightarrow Y$ definable, surjective, with constant fiber size $\Rightarrow \mu(X) = \mu(Y) \cdot \mu(\text{fiber})$.

Example: $K = \tilde{K}$, $f: K^\times \rightarrow K^\times, x \mapsto x^2$.

Fibers have 2 elements, but left and right set are the same. ⚡

Generalized axioms

Can we expect all the axioms?

- **Fubini:** $f: X \rightarrow Y$ definable, surjective, with constant fiber size $\Rightarrow \mu(X) = \mu(Y) \cdot \mu(\text{fiber})$.

Example: $K = \tilde{K}$, $f: K^\times \rightarrow K^\times, x \mapsto x^2$.

Fibers have 2 elements, but left and right set are the same. ⚡

Generalized axioms

Can we expect all the axioms?

- **Fubini:** $f: X \rightarrow Y$ definable, surjective, with constant fiber size $\Rightarrow \mu(X) = \mu(Y) \cdot \mu(\text{fiber})$.

Example: $K = \tilde{K}$, $f: K^\times \rightarrow K^\times, x \mapsto x^2$.

Fibers have 2 elements, but left and right set are the same. ⚡

Generalized axioms

Can we expect all the axioms?

- **Fubini:** $f: X \rightarrow Y$ definable, surjective, with constant fiber size $\Rightarrow \mu(X) = \mu(Y) \cdot \mu(\text{fiber})$.

Example: $K = \tilde{K}$, $f: K^\times \rightarrow K^\times, x \mapsto x^2$.

Fibers have 2 elements, but left and right set are the same. ⚡

Generalized axioms

Can we expect all the axioms?

- **Fubini**: No.

Generalized axioms

Can we expect all the axioms?

- **Fubini:** No.

Instead:

- $f: X \rightarrow Y$ definable bijection $\Rightarrow \mu(X) = \mu(Y)$
- $\mu(X \times Y) = \mu(X) \times \mu(Y)$
- (• And as before $\mu(\text{abs. irr}) = 1$ and $\mu(X \dot{\cup} Y) = \dots$)

These are our new axioms.

Generalized axioms

Can we expect all the axioms?

- **Fubini:** No.

Instead:

- $f: X \rightarrow Y$ definable bijection $\Rightarrow \mu(X) = \mu(Y)$
- $\mu(X \times Y) = \mu(X) \times \mu(Y)$

(• And as before $\mu(\text{abs. irr}) = 1$ and $\mu(X \dot{\cup} Y) = \dots$)

These are our new axioms.

Generalized axioms

Can we expect all the axioms?

- **Fubini:** No.

Instead:

- $f: X \rightarrow Y$ definable bijection $\Rightarrow \mu(X) = \mu(Y)$
- $\mu(X \times Y) = \mu(X) \times \mu(Y)$
- (• And as before $\mu(\text{abs. irr}) = 1$ and $\mu(X \dot{\cup} Y) = \dots$)

These are our new axioms.

Generalized axioms

Can we expect all the axioms?

- **Fubini:** No.

Instead:

- $f: X \rightarrow Y$ definable bijection $\Rightarrow \mu(X) = \mu(Y)$
- $\mu(X \times Y) = \mu(X) \times \mu(Y)$
- (• And as before $\mu(\text{abs. irr}) = 1$ and $\mu(X \dot{\cup} Y) = \dots$)

These are our new axioms.

Example: alg. closed fields

This works for $K = \tilde{K}$:

- X definable $\Rightarrow X$ constructible
- $\mu(X) :=$ number of irreducible components of X of maximal dimension.
- This satisfies all axioms.

Example: alg. closed fields

This works for $K = \tilde{K}$:

- X definable $\Rightarrow X$ constructible
- $\mu(X) :=$ number of irreducible components of X of maximal dimension.
- This satisfies all axioms.

Example: alg. closed fields

This works for $K = \tilde{K}$:

- X definable $\Rightarrow X$ constructible
- $\mu(X) :=$ number of irreducible components of X of maximal dimension.
- This satisfies all axioms.

Example: alg. closed fields

This works for $K = \tilde{K}$:

- X definable $\Rightarrow X$ constructible
- $\mu(X) :=$ number of irreducible components of X of maximal dimension.
- This satisfies all axioms.

Outline

- ① Measures on pseudo-finite fields
- ② How to generalize?
- ③ Results

Result

Let K be PAC, perfect and Gal_K bounded.

Theorem (H.)

- 1 *If Gal_K is pro-cyclic, it works (μ exists).*
- 2 *With one (natural) additional assumption, it is even unique.*
- 3 *For general bounded Gal_K (e.g. $\text{Gal}_K = \hat{\mathbb{Z}} * \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$), no measure exists.*

Result

Let K be PAC, perfect and Gal_K bounded.

Theorem (H.)

- 1 *If Gal_K is pro-cyclic, it works (μ exists).*
- 2 *With one (natural) additional assumption, it is even unique.*
- 3 *For general bounded Gal_K (e.g. $\text{Gal}_K = \hat{\mathbb{Z}} * \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$), no measure exists.*

Result

Let K be PAC, perfect and Gal_K bounded.

Theorem (H.)

- 1 *If Gal_K is pro-cyclic, it works (μ exists).*
- 2 *With one (natural) additional assumption, it is even unique.*
- 3 *For general bounded Gal_K (e.g. $\text{Gal}_K = \hat{\mathbb{Z}} * \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$), no measure exists.*

Result

Let K be PAC, perfect and Gal_K bounded.

Theorem (H.)

- 1 *If Gal_K is pro-cyclic, it works (μ exists).*
- 2 *With one (natural) additional assumption, it is even unique.*
- 3 *For general bounded Gal_K (e.g. $\text{Gal}_K = \hat{\mathbb{Z}} * \hat{\mathbb{Z}}$), no measure exists.*

Why only for pro-cyclic Galois groups

- Does not work in general because there are many definable bijections:
Have more or less $X \xrightarrow{1:1} Y$ for $Y \subsetneq X$.
- Does work for pro-cyclic Galois group, because:
 - It works for PSF fields and...
 - language of K (with Gal_K pro-cyclic) is a “simplification” of language of PSF.

Why only for pro-cyclic Galois groups

- Does not work in general because there are many definable bijections:

Have more or less $X \xrightarrow{1:1} Y$ for $Y \subsetneq X$.

- Does work for pro-cyclic Galois group, because:
 - It works for PSF fields and...
 - language of K (with Gal_K pro-cyclic) is a “simplification” of language of PSF.

Why only for pro-cyclic Galois groups

- Does not work in general because there are many definable bijections:
Have more or less $X \xrightarrow{1:1} Y$ for $Y \subsetneq X$.
- Does work for pro-cyclic Galois group, because:
 - It works for PSF fields and...
 - language of K (with Gal_K pro-cyclic) is a “simplification” of language of PSF.

Why only for pro-cyclic Galois groups

- Does not work in general because there are many definable bijections:

Have more or less $X \xrightarrow{1:1} Y$ for $Y \subsetneq X$.

- Does work for pro-cyclic Galois group, because:
 - It works for PSF fields and...
 - language of K (with Gal_K pro-cyclic) is a “simplification” of language of PSF.

Why only for pro-cyclic Galois groups

- Does not work in general because there are many definable bijections:
Have more or less $X \xrightarrow{1:1} Y$ for $Y \subsetneq X$.
- Does work for pro-cyclic Galois group, because:
 - It works for PSF fields and...
 - language of K (with Gal_K pro-cyclic) is a “simplification” of language of PSF.

Why only for pro-cyclic Galois groups

- Does not work in general because there are many definable bijections:
Have more or less $X \xrightarrow{1:1} Y$ for $Y \subsetneq X$.
- Does work for pro-cyclic Galois group, because:
 - It works for PSF fields and...
 - language of K (with Gal_K pro-cyclic) is a “simplification” of language of PSF.

Why only for pro-cyclic Galois groups

- Does not work in general because there are many definable bijections:
Have more or less $X \xrightarrow{1:1} Y$ for $Y \subsetneq X$.
- Does work for pro-cyclic Galois group, because:
 - It works for PSF fields and...
 - language of K (with Gal_K pro-cyclic) is a “simplification” of language of PSF.

When Gal_K pro-cyclic

Example: Consider $X = \{\text{squares}\}$.

- If K is PSF, then $K = \{\text{squares}\} \dot{\cup} \{\text{non-squares}\}$.
 $\mu_K(\{\text{squares}\}) = \mu_K(\{\text{non-squares}\}) = \frac{1}{2}$.
- Now add all square roots to K : $L := K \cup \sqrt{K} \cup \sqrt{\sqrt{K}} \cup \dots$
- \rightsquigarrow All non-squares also become squares.
- $\rightsquigarrow \mu_L(\{\text{squares}\}) = \mu_K(\{\text{squares}\}) + \mu_K(\{\text{non-squares}\}) = 1$

When Gal_K pro-cyclic

Example: Consider $X = \{\text{squares}\}$.

- If K is PSF, then $K = \{\text{squares}\} \dot{\cup} \{\text{non-squares}\}$.
 $\mu_K(\{\text{squares}\}) = \mu_K(\{\text{non-squares}\}) = \frac{1}{2}$.
- Now add all square roots to K : $L := K \cup \sqrt{K} \cup \sqrt{\sqrt{K}} \cup \dots$
- \rightsquigarrow All non-squares also become squares.
- $\rightsquigarrow \mu_L(\{\text{squares}\}) = \mu_K(\{\text{squares}\}) + \mu_K(\{\text{non-squares}\}) = 1$

When Gal_K pro-cyclic

Example: Consider $X = \{\text{squares}\}$.

- If K is PSF, then $K = \{\text{squares}\} \dot{\cup} \{\text{non-squares}\}$.
 $\mu_K(\{\text{squares}\}) = \mu_K(\{\text{non-squares}\}) = \frac{1}{2}$.
- Now add all square roots to K : $L := K \cup \sqrt{K} \cup \sqrt{\sqrt{K}} \cup \dots$
- \rightsquigarrow All non-squares also become squares.
- $\rightsquigarrow \mu_L(\{\text{squares}\}) = \mu_K(\{\text{squares}\}) + \mu_K(\{\text{non-squares}\}) = 1$

When Gal_K pro-cyclic

Example: Consider $X = \{\text{squares}\}$.

- If K is PSF, then $K = \{\text{squares}\} \dot{\cup} \{\text{non-squares}\}$.
 $\mu_K(\{\text{squares}\}) = \mu_K(\{\text{non-squares}\}) = \frac{1}{2}$.
- Now add all square roots to K : $L := K \cup \sqrt{K} \cup \sqrt{\sqrt{K}} \cup \dots$
- \rightsquigarrow All non-squares also become squares.
- $\rightsquigarrow \mu_L(\{\text{squares}\}) = \mu_K(\{\text{squares}\}) + \mu_K(\{\text{non-squares}\}) = 1$

When Gal_K pro-cyclic

Example: Consider $X = \{\text{squares}\}$.

- If K is PSF, then $K = \{\text{squares}\} \dot{\cup} \{\text{non-squares}\}$.
 $\mu_K(\{\text{squares}\}) = \mu_K(\{\text{non-squares}\}) = \frac{1}{2}$.
- Now add all square roots to K : $L := K \cup \sqrt{K} \cup \sqrt{\sqrt{K}} \cup \dots$
- \rightsquigarrow All non-squares also become squares.
- $\rightsquigarrow \mu_L(\{\text{squares}\}) = \mu_K(\{\text{squares}\}) + \mu_K(\{\text{non-squares}\}) = 1$

When Gal_K pro-cyclic

Example: Consider $X = \{\text{squares}\}$.

- If K is PSF, then $K = \{\text{squares}\} \dot{\cup} \{\text{non-squares}\}$.
 $\mu_K(\{\text{squares}\}) = \mu_K(\{\text{non-squares}\}) = \frac{1}{2}$.
- Now add all square roots to K : $L := K \cup \sqrt{K} \cup \sqrt{\sqrt{K}} \cup \dots$
- \rightsquigarrow All non-squares also become squares.
- $\rightsquigarrow \mu_L(\{\text{squares}\}) = \mu_K(\{\text{squares}\}) + \mu_K(\{\text{non-squares}\}) = 1$

1. When Gal_K pro-cyclic

General idea is the same.

- Pretend that K is an extension of a PSF field.
- Which definable sets in the PSF field become part of X when extending to K ?
- Set $\mu(X)$ to be the sum of the measures of those sets.
- Real way to do this is using Galois stratification.

Remark: If one tries to define μ for K directly (without going through the PSF-measure), it does not work.

1. When Gal_K pro-cyclic

General idea is the same.

- Pretend that K is an extension of a PSF field.
- Which definable sets in the PSF field become part of X when extending to K ?
- Set $\mu(X)$ to be the sum of the measures of those sets.
- Real way to do this is using Galois stratification.

Remark: If one tries to define μ for K directly (without going through the PSF-measure), it does not work.

1. When Gal_K pro-cyclic

General idea is the same.

- Pretend that K is an extension of a PSF field.
- Which definable sets in the PSF field become part of X when extending to K ?
 - Set $\mu(X)$ to be the sum of the measures of those sets.
 - Real way to do this is using Galois stratification.

Remark: If one tries to define μ for K directly (without going through the PSF-measure), it does not work.

1. When Gal_K pro-cyclic

General idea is the same.

- Pretend that K is an extension of a PSF field.
- Which definable sets in the PSF field become part of X when extending to K ?
- Set $\mu(X)$ to be the sum of the measures of those sets.
- Real way to do this is using Galois stratification.

Remark: If one tries to define μ for K directly (without going through the PSF-measure), it does not work.

1. When Gal_K pro-cyclic

General idea is the same.

- Pretend that K is an extension of a PSF field.
- Which definable sets in the PSF field become part of X when extending to K ?
- Set $\mu(X)$ to be the sum of the measures of those sets.
- Real way to do this is using Galois stratification.

Remark: If one tries to define μ for K directly (without going through the PSF-measure), it does not work.

Uniqueness without Fubini

Example: K is PSF and $X = \{\text{squares}\}$.

- We have a definable bijection $f: \{\text{squares}\} \rightarrow \{\text{non-squares}\}$:
 - Take $a \in \{\text{non-squares}\}$. Then $f(x) := a \cdot x$.

So $\mu(\{\text{squares}\}) = \mu(\{\text{non-squares}\})$.

- $\mu(\{\text{squares}\}) + \mu(\{\text{non-squares}\}) = \mu(K) = 1$
- $\Rightarrow \mu(\{\text{squares}\}) = \frac{1}{2}$.

The general idea is the same.

Uniqueness without Fubini

Example: K is PSF and $X = \{\text{squares}\}$.

- We have a definable bijection $f: \{\text{squares}\} \rightarrow \{\text{non-squares}\}$:
 - Take $a \in \{\text{non-squares}\}$. Then $f(x) := a \cdot x$.

So $\mu(\{\text{squares}\}) = \mu(\{\text{non-squares}\})$.

- $\mu(\{\text{squares}\}) + \mu(\{\text{non-squares}\}) = \mu(K) = 1$
- $\Rightarrow \mu(\{\text{squares}\}) = \frac{1}{2}$.

The general idea is the same.

Uniqueness without Fubini

Example: K is PSF and $X = \{\text{squares}\}$.

- We have a definable bijection $f: \{\text{squares}\} \rightarrow \{\text{non-squares}\}$:

- Take $a \in \{\text{non-squares}\}$. Then $f(x) := a \cdot x$.

So $\mu(\{\text{squares}\}) = \mu(\{\text{non-squares}\})$.

- $\mu(\{\text{squares}\}) + \mu(\{\text{non-squares}\}) = \mu(K) = 1$
- $\Rightarrow \mu(\{\text{squares}\}) = \frac{1}{2}$.

The general idea is the same.

Uniqueness without Fubini

Example: K is PSF and $X = \{\text{squares}\}$.

- We have a definable bijection $f: \{\text{squares}\} \rightarrow \{\text{non-squares}\}$:
 - Take $a \in \{\text{non-squares}\}$. Then $f(x) := a \cdot x$.

So $\mu(\{\text{squares}\}) = \mu(\{\text{non-squares}\})$.

- $\mu(\{\text{squares}\}) + \mu(\{\text{non-squares}\}) = \mu(K) = 1$
- $\Rightarrow \mu(\{\text{squares}\}) = \frac{1}{2}$.

The general idea is the same.

Uniqueness without Fubini

Example: K is PSF and $X = \{\text{squares}\}$.

- We have a definable bijection $f: \{\text{squares}\} \rightarrow \{\text{non-squares}\}$:
 - Take $a \in \{\text{non-squares}\}$. Then $f(x) := a \cdot x$.

So $\mu(\{\text{squares}\}) = \mu(\{\text{non-squares}\})$.

- $\mu(\{\text{squares}\}) + \mu(\{\text{non-squares}\}) = \mu(K) = 1$
- $\Rightarrow \mu(\{\text{squares}\}) = \frac{1}{2}$.

The general idea is the same.

Uniqueness without Fubini

Example: K is PSF and $X = \{\text{squares}\}$.

- We have a definable bijection $f: \{\text{squares}\} \rightarrow \{\text{non-squares}\}$:
 - Take $a \in \{\text{non-squares}\}$. Then $f(x) := a \cdot x$.

So $\mu(\{\text{squares}\}) = \mu(\{\text{non-squares}\})$.

- $\mu(\{\text{squares}\}) + \mu(\{\text{non-squares}\}) = \mu(K) = 1$
- $\Rightarrow \mu(\{\text{squares}\}) = \frac{1}{2}$.

The general idea is the same.

Uniqueness without Fubini

Example: K is PSF and $X = \{\text{squares}\}$.

- We have a definable bijection $f: \{\text{squares}\} \rightarrow \{\text{non-squares}\}$:
 - Take $a \in \{\text{non-squares}\}$. Then $f(x) := a \cdot x$.

So $\mu(\{\text{squares}\}) = \mu(\{\text{non-squares}\})$.

- $\mu(\{\text{squares}\}) + \mu(\{\text{non-squares}\}) = \mu(K) = 1$
- $\Rightarrow \mu(\{\text{squares}\}) = \frac{1}{2}$.

The general idea is the same.

Uniqueness without Fubini

Example: K is PSF and $X = \{\text{squares}\}$.

- We have a definable bijection $f: \{\text{squares}\} \rightarrow \{\text{non-squares}\}$:
 - Take $a \in \{\text{non-squares}\}$. Then $f(x) := a \cdot x$.

So $\mu(\{\text{squares}\}) = \mu(\{\text{non-squares}\})$.

- $\mu(\{\text{squares}\}) + \mu(\{\text{non-squares}\}) = \mu(K) = 1$
- $\Rightarrow \mu(\{\text{squares}\}) = \frac{1}{2}$.

The general idea is the same.